April 5, 2016

Dave Navecky
Surface Transportation Board
Docket No. FD 35952
395 East Street SouthWest
Washington, DC 20423-0001

Dear Mr. Navecky:

The proposed Construction and Operation of a Rail Line in Indiana, Illinois, and Wisconsin (Docket No. FD 35952), as referred to in your letter received March 18, 2016, will cause a conversion of prime farmland.

The attached packet of information is for your use in completing Parts VI and VII of the AD-1006. After completion the federal funding agency needs to forward one copy to NRCS for our records.

If you need additional information, please contact Rick Neilson at 317-295-5875.

Sincerely,

JANÉ E. HARDISTY State Conservationist

**Enclosures** 

_	U.S. Departmen ARMLAND CONVERS			ATING			
PART I (To be completed by Federal Agent							
Name of Project GLBR Rail line	<del>-,,</del>	Date Of Land Evaluation Request  Federal Agency Involved					
Bound 1 and 1 and			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		to diam's		
			ind State La Pi				
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)		Date Red NRCS	quest Received 3-21-16	Ву	RWN	Completing Fo	rm:
Does the site contain Prime, Unique, States	vide or Local Important Farmland	? \	ES NO	Acres I	rrigated		Farm Size
(If no, the FPPA does not apply - do not cor	<u> </u>	·				260 Ac	
Major Crop(s)	Farmable Land In Govt. J					s Defined in FF	PPA
Corn	Acres: 348283 % 90			Acres: 28			
Name of Land Evaluation System Used LESA	Name of State or Local S	ite Assess	ment System	/	21	Returned by NI	RCS
				4/	5/16	- Cita Batina	
PART III (To be completed by Federal Age	ncy)			Site A	Site B	e Site Rating Site C	Site D
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly							
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly							
C. Total Acres In Site							
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Lan	d Evaluation Information						
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland				255.01			
B. Total Acres Statewide Important or Local	Important Farmland			35.71			
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Lo	ocal Govt. Unit To Be Converted			0.089			
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdi	ction With Same Or Higher Relati	ve Value		51			
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Relative Value of Farmland To Be Co	Evaluation Criterion	s)		75			
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Age (Criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5 b. For	ncy) Site Assessment Criteria		Maximum Points	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
1. Area In Non-urban Use			(15)				
2. Perimeter In Non-urban Use			(20)	<u> </u>			
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed			(20)				
Protection Provided By State and Local (     Distance From Makes Built and Asserting Assert	Government		(15)			<del> </del>	-
5. Distance From Urban Built-up Area		<del></del>	(15)				<b></b>
Distance To Urban Support Services     Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To	Average		(10)			<del>- </del>	-
Size of Present Farm Onit Compared 16     Creation Of Non-farmable Farmland	Average		(10)	<del> </del>		-	<u> </u>
Oreadon of Normaniable Familiand     Availability Of Farm Support Services			(5)				1
10. On-Farm Investments			(20)				+
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support	Sanines		(10)			-	+
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural I	·		(10)			+	-
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS			160	0	0	0	0
PART VII (To be completed by Federal A	nency)			<del>                                     </del>		1 0	0
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	900)		100	75	0	0	0
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above	or local site assessment)		160	0	0	0	0
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)			260	75	0	1 0	0
					_	ssment Used?	
Site Selected:  Reason For Selection:	Date Of Selection			YE	s	ИО	
Name of Federal agency representative comp	leting this form				·······   F	Dale:	

The second secon	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
Project:	laporte glbr rail line

## F.P.P.A. CALCULATION WORKSHEET

Site = A Evaluator = RWN

SP	Map Unit Symbol	Acreage	Relative Value	Acres x Value	Prime	StateWide
Х	Ad	0.60	88	53.05	0.00	0.60
Х	Br	1.77	70	124.04	1.77	0.00
Х	CoA	42.73	70	2990.83	42.73	0.00
x	Ed	0.49	82	40.44	0.00	0.49
х	EsA	112.88	76	8578.58	112.88	0.00
х	EsB	10.69	76	812.12	10.69	0.00
х	Gf	7.81	91	710.57	7.81	0.00
х	HaA	0.78	70	54.62	0.78	0.00
X	Но	4.87	94	457.41	0.00	4.87
	Md	1.24	52	64.72	0.00	0.00
х	Pa	29.75	79	2349.99	0.00	29.75
Х	Ph	0.67	88	58.67	0.67	0.00
Х	RIB2	18.42	85	1566.04	18.42	0.00
	RIC2	3.71	79	293.03	0.00	0.00
х	Sb	6.05	79	477.58	6.05	0.00
Х	TcA	11.27	64	721.24	11.27	0.00
X	TcB	29.75	64	1904.04	29.75	0.00
	TcC2	13.25	58	768.69	0.00	0.00
х	Tr	11.23	97	1089.57	11.23	0.00
Х	Wh	0.97	100	97.02	0.97	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
			-	0.00	0.00	0.00
			-	0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
X				0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total Acreage =	308.92	Total Products =	23212.25	255.01	35.71

	Acres	Percent
Farmable land in Government Jursidiction	348,283	90
Farmable land as defined in FPPA	283,295	73
Acres of affected ground with rv greater than Item 1		

Ite Part V - Relative Value of Farmland to be Converted	75	
Ite Total Acres in County With A Relative Value Equal to	176,335	
Ite Part IV C	0.089	
Ite Part IV D Item		51

F	U.S. Departmen ARMLAND CONVERS			ATING			
PART I (To be completed by Federal Agen	cy)	Date Of	Land Evaluation	Request			
Name of Project GLBR Rail line			Agency Involved				
Proposed Land Use			and State Porte		ıdiana	<del></del>	
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)		Date Re	quest Received 3-21-16		1	Completing For	m:
Does the site contain Prime, Unique, States	wide or Local Important Farmland		YES NO	Acres Irrigated Average Farm Siz			
(If no, the FPPA does not apply - do not col	mplete additional parts of this forn	n)				228 Ac	
Major Crop(s)	Farmable Land In Govt. J	Jurisdiction				Defined in FF	PPA
Corn	Acres: 235292 % 88			Acres: 20	7152% 7	77	
Name of Land Evaluation System Used	Name of State or Local S	ite Assess	ment System	Date Land I	Evaluation F	Returned by NF	RCS
LESA				4/5	116		
PART III (To be completed by Federal Age	ncy)			Site A		e Site Rating	Cite D
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly				Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly							
C. Total Acres In Site				-			
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Lan	d Evaluation Information						
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland				572.91			
B. Total Acres Statewide Important or Local							
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Lo	<u> </u>			55.96			
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdi		ve Value		0.270 51	<u> </u>		
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land							
Relative Value of Farmland To Be C	onverted (Scale of 0 to 100 Points	s)		82			
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Age		ODA 4061	Maximum Points	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
(Criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5 b. For 1. Area In Non-urban Use	Comaor project use form NACS-	CPA-106)	(15)				
2. Perimeter In Non-urban Use	·		(10)				<u> </u>
Percent Of Site Being Farmed			(20)				
Protection Provided By State and Local	Government		(20)				
Distance From Urban Built-up Area			(15)				
6. Distance To Urban Support Services			(15)				
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To	o Average		(10)			200	
8. Creation Of Non-farmable Farmland			(10)			·   · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	†
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services			(5)				<u> </u>
10. On-Farm Investments			(20)				
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Suppor	t Services		(10)				
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural	Use		(10)				
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS			160	0	0	0	0 :=
PART VII (To be completed by Federal A	Agency)	N.					
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)			100	82	0	0	0
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above	or local site assessment)		160	0	0	0	0
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)			260	82	0	0	0
Site Selected:	Date Of Selection			Was A Loca YE		ssment Used?	· · · · ·
Reason For Selection:							
Name of Federal agency representative comp	oleting this form:				Тг	)ate:	

Project:	porter glbr rail line

F.P.P.A. CALCULATION WORKSHEET
Site = A Evaluator = RWN

S	Р	Map Unit Symbol	Acreage	Relative Value	Acres x Value	Prime	StateWide
Х		Ad	3.79	88	333.47	0.00	3.79
	Х	Ag	17.38	94	1634.14	17.38	0.00
	Х	BaA	1.40	75	104.68	1.40	0.00
	Х	Br	16.15	73	1178.83	16.15	0.00
	X	DoA	11.47	85	974.78	11.47	0.00
х		Ed	6.08	82	498.95	0.00	6.08
	Х	EIA	42.12	90	3790.70	42.12	0.00
	X	Gf	2.41	91	219.62	2.41	0.00
	Х	HaA	21.82	70	1527.15	21.82	0.00
	Х	HkA	5.30	94	498.23	5.30	0.00
		Hm	1.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
х		Но	19.66	94	1847.71	0.00	19.66
	Х	LyA	36.30	88	3194.32	36.30	0.00
	Х	LyB	2.13	88	187.19	2.13	0.00
	Х	McB	33.00	82	2706.39	33.00	0.00
	Х	MrB2	7.70	76	585.37	7.70	0.00
		MsC3	0.65	64	41.48	0.00	0.00
х		Pa	26.43	73	1929.65	0.00	26.43
	Х	Pe	29.46	97	2857.85	29.46	0.00
	Х	Ph	32.08	88	2822.79	32.08	0.00
		PIB	0.71	45	31.94	0.00	0.00
	х	RaB	10.21	88	898.87	10.21	0.00
	х	RIA	14.18	88	1247.76	14.18	0.00
	Х	RIB	11.93	88	1050.08	11.93	0.00
		RmC2	3.05	79	240.90	0.00	0.00
	X	Sb	260.43	79	20574.02	260.43	0.00
	Х	So	7.73	82	633.49	7.73	0.00
	Х	TcB	6.49	64	415.26	6.49	0.00
		TyA	1.51	52	78.32	0.00	0.00
	X.	Wh	2.06	100	205.78	2.06	0.00
	X	Wt	1.16	94	109.29	1.16	0.00
					0.00	0.00	0.00
					0.00	0.00	0.00
					0.00	0.00	0.00
Х					0.00	0.00	0.00
				<u> </u>	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Total Acreage =	635.79	Total Products =	52419.02	572.91	55.96

	Acres	Percent
Farmable land in Government Jursidiction	235,292	88
Farmable land as defined in FPPA	207,152	77
Acres of affected ground with rv greater than Item 1		

Ite Part V - Relative Value of Farmland to be Converte	d	82
Ite Total Acres in County With A Relative Value Equal	121,131	
Ite Part IV C	0.2702	0.270
Ite Part IV D Item		51

F	U.S. Departmen			ATING			
PART I (To be completed by Federal Agen	cy)	Date Of	Land Evaluation	Request			
Name of Project GLBR Rail line			Agency Involved	•	<del>.</del>		
Proposed Land Use		-			liono		
DADT II		County and State Lak					
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)		Date Red	uest Received 3-21-16	Ву	RWN	ompleting For	m:
Does the site contain Prime, Unique, States	vide or Local Important Farmland		(ES NO	Acres I	rrigated		Farm Size
(If no, the FPPA does not apply - do not cor	mplete additional parts of this form	7)			L. KK	224 Ac	
Major Crop(s)	Farmable Land In Govt. J					Defined in FP	PPA
Corn	Acres: 266576 % 82			Acres: 23			
Name of Land Evaluation System Used	Name of State or Local S	ite Assess	ment System			eturned by NF	RCS
LESA				4/5/1			
PART III (To be completed by Federal Age.	ncy)			Site A	Alternative Site B	Site Rating	Site D
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly				Oile /	ONC D	1 01100	Oile D
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly							
C. Total Acres In Site							
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Lan	d Evaluation Information						
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland				451.83			
B. Total Acres Statewide Important or Local	Important Farmland			5.7	_		
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Lo	<u> </u>			0.182			
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdi	ction With Same Or Higher Relative	ve Value		54			
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Relative Value of Farmland To Be Co	Evaluation Criterion	2)		80			
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Age	ncy) Site Assessment Criteria		Maximum	Site A	Site B	Site C	Site D
(Criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5 b. For 1. Area In Non-urban Use	Corridor project use form NRCS-0	CPA-106)	Points (15)				
Area in Non-urban Use     Perimeter In Non-urban Use			(10)				
	·		(20)				
Percent Of Site Being Farmed     Protection Provided By State and Level			(20)				
Protection Provided By State and Local (     Distance From Library Built are Associated)	30vernment		(15)		A.	ļ	
Distance From Urban Built-up Area     Distance To Lichan Support Services			(15)	<u> </u>		<del> </del>	
6. Distance To Urban Support Services		<del></del>	(10)				-
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To	Average		(10)	<u> </u>			
8. Creation Of Non-farmable Farmland			(5)			-	
Availability Of Farm Support Services     On-Farm Investments			(20)				
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support	Continue		(10)				
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural I			(10)		_	-	
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS	JSE		160				
PART VII (To be completed by Federal A	d			0	0	0	0
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	gencyj		100	90	0		0
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above	or local eite assessment)		160	80	0	0 0	0
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)	or rodar and addeddirectly		260	80	0	0	0
1			200		_	sment Used?	0
Site Selected:	Date Of Selection			YE		NO	
Reason For Selection:  Name of Federal agency representative comp	leting this form				- 15	ato:	

Project: Lake glbr rail line								
F.P.P.A	. CALCULA	TION WORKSHEET						
Site =	A	Evaluator =	RWN					

Ρ		Acreage	Relative Value	Acres x Value		StateWide
X	Ad	0.72	86	61.95	0.72	0.00
Х	Al	22.95	89	2042.46	22.95	0.00
Х	Bn	3.64	86	313.40	3.64	0.00
Х	Br	1.13	71	80.22	1.13	0.00
	Ca	3.28	89	292.24	0.00	3.28
х	Da	1.17	94	109.61	1.17	0.00
Х	De	3.61	80	288.73	3.61	0.00
Х	DoA	41.77	74	3090.64	41.77	0.00
Х	DoB	18.10	74	1339.48	18.10	0.00
Х	DrB	2.19	80	174.97	2.19	0.00
Х	El	60.38	85	5132.52	60.38	0.00
	Lm	0.05	74	3.67	0.00	0.05
Х	LyA	12.02	83	997.84	12.02	0.00
Х	LyB	6.99	83	579.97	6.99	0.00
Х	MaB2	86.77	74	6420.86	86.77	0.00
Х	Мо	16.04	91	1459.79	16.04	0.00
Х	Mr	33.34	86	2867.05	33.34	0.00
х	Ms	4.87	91	442.72	4.87	0.00
	Mt	1.56	91	141.60	0.00	1.56
х	MuB	1.18	74	87.37	1.18	0.00
	MuC2	0.71	66	46.96	0.00	0.00
	MvB3	4.43	66	292.28	0.00	0.00
	MvC3	4.76	60	285.69	0.00	0.00
Х	OsA	5.24	63	330.30	5.24	0.00
Х	OsB	19.80	66	1306.64	19.80	0.00
	OsC	10.65	57	607.07	0.00	0.00
Х	Pc	54.22	91	4934.05	54.22	0.00
Х	Rn	40.98	100	4097.91	40.98	0.00
	Та	0.81	83	67.23	0.00	0.81
х	TcA	6.96	63	438.48	6.96	0.00
Х	ТсВ	7.77	63	489.60	7.77	0.00
	TcC	3.29	57	187.25	0.00	0.00
	ТуВ	1.73	29	50.29	0.00	0.00
	W	2.19	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Wa	0.00	94	0.00	0.00	0.00
				0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total Acreage =	485.29	Total Products =	39060.86	451.83	5.70

	Acres	Percent
Farmable land in Government Jursidiction	266,576	82
Farmable land as defined in FPPA	231,037	71
Acres of affected ground with rv greater than Item 1		

Ite Part V - Relative Value of Farmland to be Converted	80	
Ite Total Acres in County With A Relative Value Equal to	143,944	
Ite Part IV C	0.1820	0.182
Ite Part IV D Item		54

# Farmland Protection Policy Act FPPA

This information is included for your assistance in completing sections I, III, VI and VII of Form AD-1006.

Natural Resources Conservation Service 6013 Lakeside Boulevard Indianapolis, IN 46278

Rick Neilson 317-295-5875 rick.neilson@in.usda.gov

401.24

#### 401.24 Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) Rule.

(c) The Act and these regulations do not authorize the Federal Government in any way to regulate the use of private or non-Federal land, or in any way affect the property rights of owners of such land. In cases where either a private party or a non-Federal unit of government applies for Federal assistance to convert farmland to a nonagricultural use, the Federal agency should use the criteria set forth in this part to identify and take into account any adverse effects on farmland of the assistance requested and develop alternative actions that would avoid or mitigate such adverse effects. If, after consideration of the adverse effects and suggested alternatives, the landowners want to proceed with conversion, the Federal agency, on the basis of the analysis set forth in Sec. 658.4 and any agency policies or procedures for implementing the Act, may provide or deny the requested assistance. Only assistance and actions that would convert farmland to nonagricultural uses are subject to this Act. Assistance and actions related to the purchase, maintenance, renovation, or replacement of existing structures and sites converted prior to the time of an application for assistance from a Federal agency, including assistance and actions related to the construction of minor new ancillary structures (such as garages or sheds), are not subject to the Act.

(d) Section 1548 of the Act, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 4209, states that the Act shall not be deemed to provide a basis for any action, either legal or equitable, by any person or class of persons challenging a Federal project, program, or other activity that may affect farmland. Neither the Act nor this rule, therefore, shall afford any basis for such an action. However, as further provided in section 1548, the governor of an affected state, where a state policy or program exists to protect farmland, may bring an action in the Federal district court of the district where a Federal program is proposed to enforce the requirements of section 1541 of the Act, 7 U.S.C. 4202, and regulations issued pursuant to that section.

Sec. 658.4 Guidelines for use of criteria.

As stated above and as provided in the Act, each Federal agency shall use the criteria provided in Sec. 658.5 to identify and take into account the adverse effects of Federal programs on the protection of farmland. The agencies are to consider alternative actions, as appropriate, that could lessen such adverse effects, and assure that such Federal programs, to the extent practicable, are compatible with State, unit of local government and private programs and policies to protect farmland. The following are guidelines to assist the agencies in these tasks:

- (a) An agency may determine whether or not a site is farmland as defined in Sec. 658.2(a) or the agency may request that NRCS make such a determination. If an agency elects not to make its own determination, it should make a request to NRCS on Form AD-1006, the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form, available at NRCS offices, for determination of whether the site is farmland subject to the Act. If neither the entire site nor any part of it is subject to the Act, then the Act will not apply and NRCS will so notify the agency. If the site is determined by NRCS to be subject to the Act, then NRCS will measure the relative value of the site as farmland on a scale of 0 to 100 according to the information sources listed in Sec. 658.5(a). NRCS will respond to these requests within 10 working days of their receipt except that in cases where a site visit or land evaluation system design is needed, NRCS will respond in 30 working days. In the event that NRCS fails to complete its response within the required period, if further delay would interfere with construction activities; the agency should proceed as though the site were not farmland.
- (b) The Form AD 1006, returned to the agency by NRCS will also include the following incidental information: The total amount of farmable land (the land in the unit of local government's jurisdiction that is capable of producing the commonly grown crop); the percentage of the jurisdiction that is farmland

401.24

### 401.24 Familiand Protection Policy Act (FPPA) Rule.

covered by the Act; the percentage of farmland in the jurisdiction that the project would convert; and the percentages of farmland in the local government's jurisdiction with the same or higher relative value than the land that the project would convert. These statistics will not be part of the criteria scoring process, but are intended simply to furnish additional background information to Federal agencies to aid them in considering the effects of their projects on farmland.

- (c) After the agency receives from NRCS the score of a site's relative value as described in Sec. 658.4(a) and then applies the site assessment criteria which are set forth in Sec. 658.5 (b) and (c), the agency will assign to the site a combined score of up to 260 points, composed of up to 100 points for relative value and up to 160 points for the site assessment. With this score the agency will be able to identify the effect of its programs on farmland, and make a determination as to the suitability of the site for protection as farmland. Once this score is computed, USDA recommends:
- (1) Sites with the highest combined scores are regarded as most suitable for protection under these criteria and sites with the lowest scores, as least suitable.
- (2) Sites receiving a total score of less than 160 need not be given further consideration for protection and no additional sites need to be evaluated.
  - (3) Sites receiving scores totaling 160 or more is given increasingly higher levels of consideration for protection.
- (4) When making decisions on proposed actions for sites receiving scores totaling 160 or more, agency personnel consider:
  - (i) Use of land that is not farmland or use of existing structures;
- (ii) Alternative sites, locations and designs that would serve the proposed purpose but convert either fewer acres of farmland or other farmland that has a lower relative value;
- (iii) Special siting requirements of the proposed project and the extent to which an alternative site fails to satisfy the special siting requirements as well as the originally selected site.
- (d) Federal agencies may elect to assign the site assessment criteria relative weightings other than those shown in Sec. 658.5 (b) and (c). If an agency elects to do so, USDA recommends that the agency adopt its alternative weighting system (1) through rulemaking in consultation with USDA, and (2) as a system to be used uniformly throughout the agency. USDA recommends that the weightings stated in Sec. 658.5 (b) and (c) be used until an agency issues a final rule to change the weightings.
- (e) It is advisable that evaluations and analyses of prospective farmland conversion impacts be made early in the planning process before a site or design is selected, and that, where possible, agencies make the FPPA evaluations part of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process. Under the agency's own NEPA regulations, some categories of projects may be excluded from NEPA which may still be covered under the FPPA. Section 1540(c)(4) of the Act exempts projects that were beyond the planning stage and were in either the active design or construction state on the effective date of the Act. Section 1547(b) exempts acquisition or use of farmland for national defense purposes. There are no other exemptions of projects by category in the Act.
- (f) Numerous States and units of local government are developing and adopting Land Evaluation and Site assessment (LESA) systems to evaluate the productivity of agricultural land and its suitability for conversion to nonagricultural use. Therefore, States and units of local government may have already performed an evaluation using criteria similar to those contained in this rule applicable to Federal agencies. USDA recommends that where sites are to be evaluated within a jurisdiction having a State or local LESA system that has been approved by the governing body of such jurisdiction and has been placed on the NRCS State conservationists list as one which meets the purpose of the FPPA in balance with other public policy objectives, Federal agencies use that system to make the evaluation

#### 401.24 Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) Rule.

- (g) To meet reporting requirements of section 1546 of the Act, 7 and for data collection purposes, after the agency has made a final decision on a project in which one or more of the alternative sites contain farmland subject to the FPPA, the agency is requested to return a copy of the Form AD-1006, which indicates the final decision of the agency, to the NRCS field office.
- (h) Once a Federal agency has performed an analysis under the FPPA for the conversion of a site, that agency's, or a second Federal agency's determination with regard to additional assistance or actions on the same site do not require additional redundant FPPA analysis.

Sec. 658.5 Criteria.

This section state the criteria required by section 1541(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. 4202(a). The criteria were developed by the Secretary of Agriculture in cooperation with other Federal agencies. They are in two parts, (1) the land evaluation criterion, relative value, for which NRCS will provide the rating or score, and (2) the site assessment criteria, for which each Federal agency must develop its own ratings or scores.

The criteria are as follows:

- (a) Land Evaluation Criterion-Relative Value. The land evaluation criterion is based on information from several sources including national cooperative soil surveys or other acceptable soil surveys, NRCS field office technical guides, soil potential ratings or soil productivity ratings, land capability classifications, and important farinland determinations. Based on this information, groups of soils within a local government's jurisdiction will be evaluated and assigned a score between 0 to 100, representing the relative value, for agricultural production, of the farinland to be converted by the project compared to other farinland in the same local government jurisdiction, This score will be the Relative Value Rating on Form AD 1006.
- (b) Site Assessment Criteria. Federal agencies are to use the following criteria to assess the suitability of each proposed site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the score from the land evaluation criterion described in Sec. 658.5(a). Each criterion will be given a score on a scale of 0 to the maximum points shown. Conditions suggesting top, intermediate and bottom scores are indicated for each criterion. The agency would make scoring decisions in the context of each proposed site or alternative action by examining the site, the surrounding area, and the programs and policies of the State or local unit of government in which the site is located. Where one given location has more than one design alternative, each design should be considered as an alternative site. The site assessment criteria are:
  - (1) How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?

More than 90 percent--15 points 90 to 20 percent--14 to 1 point(s) Less than 20 percent--0 points

(2) How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?

More than 90 percent--10 points 90 to 20 percent--9 to 1 point(s) Less than 20 percent--0 points

(3) How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than 5 of the last 10 years?

491-35

#### Part 401 - General

401.24

#### 401.24 Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) Rule.

More than 90 percent--20 points 90 to 20 percent--19 to 1 points(s) Less than 20 percent--0 points

(4) Is the site subject to State or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?

Site is protected--20 points Site is not protected--0 points

(5) How close is the site to an urban built-up area?

The site is 2 miles or more from an urban built-up area--15 points.

The site is more than 1 mile but less than 2 miles from an urban built-up area--10 points. The site is less than 1 mile from, but is not adjacent to an urban built-up area--5 points. The site is adjacent to an urban built-up area--0 points.

(6) How close is the site to water lines, sewer lines and/or other local facilities and services whose capacities and design would promote nonagricultural use?

None of the services exist nearer than 3 miles from the site--15 points Some of the services exist more than 1 but less than 3 miles from the site--10 points All of the services exist within \1/2\ mile of the site--0 points

(7) Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average-size farming unit in the county? (Average farm sizes in each county are available from the NRCS field offices in each State. Data are from the latest available Census of Agriculture, Acreage of Farm Units in Operation with \$1,000 or more in sales.)

As large or larger--10 points

Below average--deduct 1 point for each 5 percent below the average, down to 0 points if 50 percent or more below average--9 to 0 points

(8) If this site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?

Acreage equal to more than 25 percent of acres directly converted by the project--10 points. Acreage equal to between 25 and 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project--9 to 1 point(s). Acreage equal to less than 5 percent of the acres directly converted by the project--0 points.

(9) Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer's markets?

401-36

#### 401.24 Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) Rule.

All required services are available--5 points
Some required services are available--4 to 1 point(s)
No required services are available--0 points

(10) Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage buildings, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?

High amount of ou-farm investment--20 points Moderate amount of on-farm investment--19 to 1 point(s) No on-farm investment--0 points

(11) Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?

Substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted +10 points. Some reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted +9 to 1 point(s). No significant reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted +0 points.

(12) Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?

Proposed project is incompatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland--10 points. Proposed project is tolerable to existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland--9 to 1 point(s). Proposed project is fully compatible with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland--0 points.

- (c) Corridor-type Site Assessment Criteria. The following criteria are to be used for projects that have a linear or corridor-type site configuration connecting two distant points, and crossing several different tracts of land. These include utility lines, highways, railroads, stream improvements, and flood control systems. Federal agencies are to assess the suitability of each corridor-type site or design alternative for protection as farmland along with the land evaluation information described in Sec. 658.4(a). All criteria for corridor-type sites will be scored as shown in Sec. 658.5(b) for other sites, except as noted below.
  - (1) Criteria 5 and 6 will not be considered
- (2) Criterion 8 will be scored on a scale of 0 to 25 points, and criterion 11 will be scored on a scale of 0 to 25 points

## Form AD-1006

	tment of Agri				
FARMLAND CONVE				TING	
PART Lifte be completed by Federal Agency!	Date Oil	and Evalu	ation Request		
Name (V Project	Federal A	Federal Agency Involved			
Preceded Land Use	County A	rid State			
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)	Data Res	Data Request Received By NRCS			
Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide or local imperiant (if no, the FPPA does not apply - do not complete additional primage Grepts)  Major Grepts)  Fameste Local Acres:  Marre Of Land Euthanon System Uses  Name Of Local Signature (Inc.)	nas of this form 1964, Jurana	01	Acres		No Colleged in FPP AT 16
PART III (To be considered by Armer Alageres)		8.5	c A Site	manyo Sin Bara B Sar	d
A Yelst Acres To Be Convened Organy  B Total Acres To Be Convened Increase	and yas				Allerton of Sales
C Total Acres to Site		100	co.	50.0	C.C
PART IV (To be completed by MRCS). Land Evaluation Information	,		100	Ť	0.0
A Total Acres Frime And Unique Farmand					
Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland     Percantage Of Farmland in County Or Local Good Unit To B     Percantage Of Farmland in Good, Jurisdictor Van Same Of Higher	e Converted				
ART V (To be complimes by NRCS): Land Evaluation Credion Relative Value Of Farmand To Be Convented (Scale of 8 to		0	0	c	
ART VI (To be completed by Federal Agreey)	Mamuri	1			
to Assessment Chief affirms summary mentions (1), 1 cmm os sens.  1. Area in Norwitson Use.	Poirs	-			
2 Pejimeter in Nomittan Use	15.	10			
3. Purcent Of Site Being Farmed		4	* * * * ***************************		A4 10 35
4 Prolection Frow ded By State And Leav Government	20				
Distance From Urban Suitor Alex     Ustance to Urban Support Senses	1/3	1			
7 Side Cl Present Fave Unit Compared To Average	10	;		- 12	
8 Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmfand	12				11
9 Availably Of Farm Signer Services 10 On Farm Investments	20	1			***
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Senaces	110		PR 10 (01)	5 bar	
12 Compadbility V/th Existing Agricultura Use	10	0.1001			
TOTAL SHE ASSESSMENT POINTS	150	2	c	0	e e
ART Vittle be demonstrately federal egent ()		-			
Relative Value Of Familians (From Flort V)	. 100	c		0	
Later Sing Assessment is more than at each work as the later	:63			a	۵
TOTAL POINTS (Transitiveous Zeres)	253		3	0	
				cut Sire Austes	Twent House's
in Selection Case Of Selection				Yes D	No. O
non, ten from the lett out					
The technology Selection Selection			e <b>e</b> ss	Yes []	. iv 🗅
See Antique to a consequence substitute of the second seco					F HHY 20 1006 (45



### Completing the AD-1006/CPA-106

- Where to find the form: The form should be provided by the originating agency. NRCS has this as a paper copy or on a CD.
- NRCS is initiating an electronic submission process for the AD-1006. Maps and specific site information will still be needed to process the request. The electronic submission process will facilitate tracking and reporting responsibilities.

#### Steps in processing the AD-1006/CPA-106

- Originating agency: complete parts I and III and send to NRCS
- NRCS: Consider using register to track AD1006/CPA106 (see exhibit)
- NRCS will complete parts II, IV, V
- · NRCS steps to complete form
- Part II: date received by NRCS

  Jafe

  Information in this section should be in the local field office. If this is not available, contact soils section in state office for guidance.
- Part IV. This information should be in local field office. If this is not available, contact appropriate soil scientist;
- Part V. This information should be in the local field office. If it is not available, contact appropriate soil scientist.
- NRCS returns AD-1006/CPA-106 to originating agency
- Part VI and VII: completed by originating agency (section 658.5 of Farmland Protection Policy Act list the specific criteria for scoring)
- Alternative Site Rating: If the total SA and LE score exceeds 160 alternative sites must be considered. 404.5 (310-GM) requires 2 alternatives for scores between 160 and 220 and 3 alternatives for scores over 220.
- Originating agency returns completed form to NRCS

## Completing Form AD-1006, Steps 1-7

- Step 1. Federal agencies involved in proposed projects that may convert farmland, as defined in the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) to nonagricultural used, will initially complete Parts I and III of this form.
- Step 2. Originator will send three copies of AD 1006 together with maps indicating locations of the site(s) to the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) local field office and retain one copy for your files. A list of NRCS field offices is available from the NRCS State Conservationist in each or from the NRCS website.
- Step 3. NRCS will return 2 copies of the AD1006 to the originating federal agency within 10 working days of receipt of the request unless a land evaluation has not been completed or a site visit is required (30 working days are allowed if a land evaluation must be completed or a site visit must be made). If more than 10 days are required, NRCS will notify the agency of the need for additional time, up to 30 working days. See exhibit 403.26.
- Step 4. In cases where farmland covered by the FPPA will be converted by the proposed project; NRCS field offices will complete parts II, IV, and V of the form.
- Step 5. NRCS will return 2 copies of the form to the federal agency involved in the project. (One copy will be retained for NRCS records).
- Step 6. The federal agency involved in the proposed project will complete parts VI and VII of the form.
- Step 7. The federal agency involved in the proposed project will make a determination as to whether the proposed conversion is consistent with the FPPA and the agencies internal policies.

	80	e			
			De	r	##
					đ
4					